

## **Undergraduate Research Symposium**

Visual Arts Evaluation Rubric

| Name:  | Presentation Title:                              |   | Total: | /100 | Rank:           |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|---|--------|------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Total Points Possible: 100. Each category is scored on a 1-5 scale, then multiplied by the weight listed in the table. |  |   |        |      |                 |  |  |  |  |
| CRITERIA   | DESCRIPTION                                      | SCORE RANGE                                   | WEIG   | нт   | WEIGHTED POINTS |  |  |  |  |
| CONCERT AND  | The slarity depth and originality of the central | 1 - unclear or derivative, 2 - clear idea but |        |      |                 |  |  |  |  |

| CRITERIA                              | DESCRIPTION  | SCORE RANGE  | WEIGHT | WEIGHTED POINTS |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--------|-----------------|
| CONCEPT AND<br>ORIGINALITY            | The clarity, depth, and originality of the central idea or research question explored through  | 1 = unclear or derivative; 3 = clear idea but<br>somewhat conventional; 5 = highly original,<br>conceptually strong, and well articulated  | X5     | /25             |
| RESEARCH AND CONTEXTUAL UNDERSTANDING | Demonstrates understanding of relevant artistic, cultural, historical, or theoretical contexts. Artist shows evidence of inquiry, reflection, or research that informs the work. | 1 = minimal or no connection to<br>research/context; 3 = some context evident but<br>not well developed; 5 = deep understanding<br>and strong integration of research into creative<br>process | X4     | /20             |
| TECHNICAL SKILL AND<br>CRAFTSMANSHIP  | Quality of execution, command of chosen media, attention to detail, and presentation.  | 1 = lacks control or finish; 3 = competent execution; 5 = exceptional skill and control enhancing the conceptual intent  | X4     | /20             |
| AESTHETIC IMPACT AND DESIGN           | Composition, form, use of visual elements, and overall aesthetic unity. The work demonstrates visual awareness and effective design principles.                                  | 1 = visually weak or incoherent; 3 = balanced<br>but conventional; 5 = visually compelling and<br>cohesive   | Х3     | /15             |
| ARTISTIC<br>STATEMENT/ABSTRACT        | Effectively summarizes the project's intent, process, and significance in clear, professional language appropriate for a research setting.                                       | 1 = unclear or incomplete; 3 = generally clear but lacks depth or focus; 5 = concise,  | X2     | /10             |
| PRESENTATION AND PROFESSIONALISM      | Artwork is well-presented. Artist demonstrates professionalism and preparedness during presentation or Q&A.  | 1 = unprofessional or poorly presented; 3 = adequately presented; 5 = polished, confident, and professional  | X2     | /10             |

| TOTAL POINTS: | /100 |
|---------------|------|
|               |      |